

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/430,437		10/29/1999	JAYANTA KUMAR DEY	99-849 7301		
32127	7590	03/30/2004		EXAMINER		
		RATE SERVICES	YUAN, ALMARI ROMERO			
C/O CHRISTIAN R. ANDERSEN 600 HIDDEN RIDGE DRIVE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MAILCOD	-			2176		
IRVING, T	X 75038	3		DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٠٠٠٠				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Advisory Action	09/430,437	DEY ET AL.	
	7.av.os.y 7.oo	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Almari Yuan	2176	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress
There final r condi	REPLY FILED 02 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T fore, further action by the applicant is required to ave ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ation in
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) [b) [The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
fee have fee und (2) as s	stensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of der 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The apploriginally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	·		
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a	they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(0	they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(c	they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5.🛛	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected:			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) _ appr	roved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.	
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	A-i/ 1	
10.	Other:	//	11/11	
		SUPERVISO	SEPH FEILD PRY PATENT EXAM	INER





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments regarding the art rejections of all claims have been carefully considered but they are not persuasive. The Examiner has carefully reviewed the argued claimed feature "weighting each term in the selected text by a function W(t) according to the time t at which the term occurs relative to the time at which the signal of interest occurs" and Applicant's remarks on page 12 of the response to the Office Action to better explained the claimed features of independent claims 1 and 27. The Examiner believes that the argued claimed feature does not mention "weighting each term of interest according to the time at which the term occurs prior to the signal of interest occurs" as explained on page 12 and on page 15, lines 12-17 of the specification. The Office Action mailed on 12/30/03 was fully responsive to applicant's arguments and maintains the rejections set forth in that Office Action.